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## Assignment Problem

An assignment problem seeks to minimize the total cost assignment of $m$ workers to $m$ jobs, given that the cost of worker $i$ performing job $j$ is $c_{i j}$.
It assumes all workers are assigned and each job is performed.
An assignment problem is a special case of a transportation problem in which all supplies and all demands are equal to 1 ; hence assignment problems may be solved as linear programs.
The graph representation of an assignment problem with three workers and three jobs is shown on the next slide.

## Assignment Problem

Graph (or Network) Representation


## Hungarian Method

The Hungarian method solves minimization assignment problems with $m$ workers and $m$ jobs.
Special considerations can include:
number of workers does not equal the number of jobs - add dummy workers/jobs with 0 assignment costs as needed
worker $i$ cannot do job $j-\operatorname{assign} c_{i j}=+M$ maximization objective - create an opportunity loss matrix subtracting all profits for each job from the maximum profit for that job before beginning the Hungarian method

## Hungarian Method

Step 1: For each row, subtract the minimum number in that row from all numbers in that row.
Step 2: For each column, subtract the minimum number in that column from all numbers in that column.
Step 3: Draw the minimum number of lines to cover all zeroes. If this number $=m$, STOP - an assignment can be made.
Step 4: Determine the minimum uncovered number (call it $d$ ).

Subtract $d$ from uncovered numbers.
Add $d$ to numbers covered by two lines.
Numbers covered by one line remain the same. Then, GO TO STEP 3.

## Hungarian Method

Finding the Minimum Number of Lines and
Determining the Optimal Solution
Step 1: Find a row or column with only one unlined zero and circle it. (If all rows/columns have two or more unlined zeroes choose an arbitrary zero.)
Step 2: If the circle is in a row with one zero, draw a line through its column. If the circle is in a column with one zero, draw a line through its row. One approach, when all rows and columns have two or more zeroes, is to draw a line through one with the most zeroes, breaking ties arbitrarily.
Step 3: Repeat step 2 until all circles are lined. If this minimum number of lines equals $m$, the circles provide the optimal assignment.

## Example 1: AP

A contractor pays his subcontractors a fixed fee plus mileage for work performed. On a given day the contractor is faced with three electrical jobs associated with various projects. Given below are the distances between the subcontractors and the projects.

|  |  | Project |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\underline{A}$ | $\underline{B}$ | $\underline{C}$ |
| Subcontractors | Westside | 50 | 36 | 16 |
|  | Federated | 28 | 30 | 18 |
|  | Goliath | 35 | 33 | 20 |

How should the contractors be assigned to minimize total costs?

## Example 1: AP

Step 1: Subtract minimum number in each row from all numbers in that row.

|  | $\underline{\mathrm{A}}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{C}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Westside | 50 | 36 | $\underline{16}$ |
| Federated | 28 | 30 | $\underline{18}$ |
| Goliath | 35 | 33 | $\underline{20}$ |

This yields:

| Westside | 34 | 20 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Federated | 10 | 12 | 0 |
| Goliath | 15 | 13 | 0 |

## Example 1: AP

Step 2: Subtract the minimum number in each column from all numbers in the column. For A it is 10, for B it is 12 , for C it is 20 . This yields:

|  | $\underline{\mathrm{A}}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{C}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Westside | 24 | 8 | 0 |
| Federated | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Goliath | 5 | 1 | 0 |

## Example 1: AP

Step 3: Draw the minimum number of lines to cover all zeroes (called minimum cover). Although one can "eyeball" this minimum, use the following algorithm. If a "remaining" row has only one zero, draw a line through the column. If a remaining column has only one zero in it, draw a line through the row. Since the number of lines that cover all zeros is $2<3$ (\# of rows), the current solution is not optimal.

Westside
Federated
Goliath


Step 4: The minimum uncovered number is 1 (circled).

## Example 1: AP

Step 5: Subtract 1 from uncovered numbers; add 1 to all numbers at line intersections; leave all other numbers intact. This gives:

Westside
Federated
Goliath


## Example 1: AP

Find the minimum cover:


Step 4: The minimum number of lines to cover all 0's is three. Thus, the current solution is optimal (minimum cost) assignment.

## Example 1: AP

The optimal assignment occurs at locations of zeros such that there is exactly one zero in each row and each column:

|  | $\underline{A}$ | $\underline{B}$ | $\underline{C}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Westside | 23 | 7 | 0 |
| Federated | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Goliath | 4 | 0 | 0 |

## Example 1: AP

The optimal assignment is (go back to the original table for the distances):

| Subcontractor |  | Project |  | Distance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Westside | C |  | 16 |  |
| Federated | A | 28 |  |  |
| Goliath | B | 33 |  |  |
| Total Distance |  |  | $=77$ miles |  |
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## Example 2: AP

A contractor pays his subcontractors a fixed fee plus mileage for work performed. On a given day the contractor is faced with three electrical jobs associated with various projects. Given below are the distances between the subcontractors and the projects.

|  |  | Project |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\underline{A}$ | $\underline{B}$ | $\underline{C}$ |
| Subcontractors | Westside | 50 | 36 | 16 |
|  | Federated | 28 | 30 | 18 |
|  | Goliath | 35 | 33 | 20 |
|  | Universal | 25 | 25 | 14 |

How should the contractors be assigned to minimize total costs?
Note: There are four subcontractors and three projects. We create a dummy project Dum, which will be assigned to one subcontractor (i.e. that subcontractor will remain idle)

## Example 2: AP

Initial Tableau Setup
Since the Hungarian algorithm requires that there be the same number of rows as columns, add a Dummy column so that the first tableau is (the smallest elements in each row are marked red):

|  | $\underline{A}$ | $\underline{B}$ |  | Dummy |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Westside | 50 | 36 | 16 | 0 |
| Federated | 28 | 30 | 18 | 0 |
| Goliath | 35 | 33 | 20 | 0 |
| Universal | 25 | 25 | 14 | 0 |

## Example 2: AP

Network Representation (note the dummy project)
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## Assignment Problem

Linear Programming Formulation

$$
\text { for each resource (row) } i
$$

Note: A modification to the right-hand side of the first constraint set can be made if a worker is permitted to work more than 1 job.

## Example 2: AP via LP

In our example the LP formulation is:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Min} z=50 x_{11}+36 x_{12}+16 x_{13}+0 x_{14}+28 x_{21}+30 x_{22}+18 x_{23}+0 x_{24}+ \\
35 x_{31}+32 x_{32}+20 x_{33}+0 x_{34}++25 x_{41}+25 x_{42}+14 x_{43}+0 x_{44}
\end{gathered}
$$

s.t.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x_{11}+x_{12}+x_{13}+x_{14}=1 & (\text { row } 1) \\
x_{21}+x_{22}+x_{23}+x_{24}=1 & (\text { row } 2) \\
x_{31}+x_{32}+x_{33}+x_{34}=1 & (\text { row } 3) \\
x_{41}+x_{42}+x_{43}+x_{44}=1 & (\text { row } 4) \\
x_{11}+x_{21}+x_{31}+x_{41}=1 & (\text { column } 1) \\
x_{12}+x_{22}+x_{32}+x_{42}=1 & (\text { column } 2) \\
x_{13}+x_{23}+x_{33}+x_{43}=1 & (\text { column } 3) \\
x_{14}+x_{24}+x_{34}+x_{44}=1 & (\text { column } 4) \\
x_{i j}>=0 \text { for } i=1,2,3,4 \text { and } j=1,2,3,4 \text { (nonnegativity) }
\end{array}
$$

## Example 2: AP

Step 1: Subtract minimum number in each row from all numbers in that row. Since each row has a zero, we simply generate the original matrix (the smallest elements in each column are marked red). This yields:

|  | $\underline{A}$ | B | $\underline{C}$ | $\underline{\text { Dummy }}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Westside | 50 | 36 | 16 | 0 |
| Federated | 28 | 30 | 18 | 0 |
| Goliath | 35 | 33 | 20 | 0 |
| Universal | 25 | 25 | 14 | 0 |

## Example 2: AP

Step 2: Subtract the minimum number in each column from all numbers in the column. For A it is 25 , for B it is 25 , for C it is 14 , for Dummy it is 0 . This yields:

|  | $\underline{A}$ | $\underline{B}$ | $\underline{C}$ | $\underline{\text { Dummy }}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Westside | 25 | 11 | 2 | 0 |
| Federated | 3 | 5 | 4 | 0 |
| Goliath | 10 | 8 | 6 | 0 |
| Universal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Example 2: AP

Step 3: Draw the minimum number of lines to cover all zeroes (called minimum cover). Although one can "eyeball" this minimum, use the following algorithm. If a "remaining" row has only one zero, draw a line through the column. If a remaining column has only one zero in it, draw a line through the row. Since the number of lines that cover all zeros is $2<4$ (\# of rows), the current solution is not optimal.


Step 4: The minimum uncovered number is 2 (circled).

## Example 2: AP

Step 5: Subtract 2 from uncovered numbers; add 2 to all numbers at line intersections; leave all other numbers intact. This gives:


## Example 2: AP

Step 3: Draw the minimum number of lines to cover all zeroes. Since 3 (\# of lines) < 4 (\# of rows), the current solution is not optimal.


Step 4: The minimum uncovered number is 1 (circled).

## Example 2: AP

Step 5: Subtract 1 from uncovered numbers. Add 1 to numbers at intersections. Leave other numbers intact. This gives:

|  | $\frac{\mathrm{A}}{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{C}}{}$ | $\frac{\text { Dummy }}{}$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Westside | 23 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| Federated | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Goliath | 7 | 5 | 3 | 0 |
| Universal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

## Example 2: AP

Find the minimum cover:


Step 4: The minimum number of lines to cover all 0's is four. Thus, the current solution is optimal (minimum cost) assignment.

## Example 2: AP

The optimal assignment occurs at locations of zeros such that there is exactly one zero in each row and each column:

|  | $\frac{\mathrm{A}}{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{C}}{}$ | $\underline{\text { Dummy }}$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Westside | 23 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| Federated | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Goliath | 7 | 5 | 3 | 0 |
| Universal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

## Example 2: AP

The optimal assignment is (go back to the original table for the distances):

Subcontractor Project Distance<br>Westside<br>C<br>16<br>Federated<br>A<br>28<br>Universal<br>B<br>25<br>Goliath<br>(unassigned)<br>$$
\text { Total Distance }=69 \text { miles }
$$

